

**BATHAE DUNNE LLP**  
Yavar Bathae (CA 282388)  
yavar@bathaeedunne.com  
Andrew C. Wolinsky (CA 345965)  
awolinsky@bathaeedunne.com  
445 Park Avenue, 9th Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(332) 322-8835

Brian J. Dunne (CA 275689)  
bdunne@bathaeedunne.com  
Edward M. Grauman (*pro hac vice*)  
egrauman@bathaeedunne.com  
901 S. MoPac Expressway  
Barton Oaks Plaza I, Suite 300  
Austin, TX 78746  
(213) 462-2772

*Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the  
Advertiser Classes*

**SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP**  
Amanda F. Lawrence (*pro hac vice*)  
alawrence@scott-scott.com  
Patrick J. McGahan (*pro hac vice*)  
pmcgahan@scott-scott.com  
Michael P. Srodoski (*pro hac vice*)  
msrodoski@scott-scott.com  
156 South Main Street, P.O. Box 192  
Colchester, CT 06415  
Tel.: (860) 537-5537

Patrick J. Coughlin (CA 111070)  
pcoughlin@scott-scott.com  
Carmen A. Medici (CA 248417)  
cmedici@scott-scott.com  
Hal D. Cunningham (CA 243048)  
hcunningham@scott-scott.com  
Daniel J. Brockwell (CA 335983)  
dbrockwell@scott-scott.com  
600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Tel.: (619) 233-4565

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MAXIMILIAN KLEIN, et al.,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
v.  
  
META PLATFORMS, INC.,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 20-cv-08570-JD  
  
Hon. James Donato

**DECLARATION OF BRIAN J. DUNNE  
IN SUPPORT OF ADVERTISER  
PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER  
ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL  
SHOULD BE SEALED**

1 I, Brian J. Dunne, declare and state as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed in the State of California and admitted to the United States  
3 District Court for the Northern District of California. I am a partner at Bathaee Dunne LLP, counsel for  
4 the Advertiser Plaintiffs in the above-captioned matter. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth  
5 herein and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently to them.

6 2. This declaration is made in support of Advertiser Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to  
7 Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed, filed in connection with the concurrently  
8 filed discovery dispute letter.

9 3. Certain documents and information referenced in the discovery dispute letter have been  
10 designated by Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc., as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" under the  
11 Stipulated Protective Order (Dkt. No. 314).

12 4. Portions of the discovery dispute letter referencing or reflecting the contents of the  
13 documents and information designated by Meta Platforms as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential"  
14 have been redacted from the publicly filed version of the letter. *See* Civil L.R. 79-5(e)(1).

15 5. An unredacted version of the discovery dispute letter with these references highlighted  
16 is filed herewith. *See* Civil L.R. 79-5(e)(2), (f)(1).

17 6. Advertiser Plaintiffs' request is limited to documents and information produced by Meta  
18 Platforms marked Confidential or Highly Confidential, or information directly reflecting documents  
19 and information produced by Meta Platforms marked Confidential or Highly Confidential. This request  
20 is thus narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of potentially sealable material.

21 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

22 Executed on April 14, 2023, in Austin, Texas.

23 /s/ Brian J. Dunne  
24 Brian J. Dunne

25

26

27

28